# Influence of different bone conduction stimuli in oVEMP testing



1. Department of Electrical Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden; 2 Vestibular Research Laboratory, School of Psychology, Faculty of Sydney, NSW, Australia, 3 Neurology Department, Institute of Clinical Neurosciences, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, NSW, Australia; 4 Karolinska University Hospital, · Department of Otorhinolaryngology, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany, 6 Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery & Interdisciplinary Center of Vertigo, University Hospital Zurich (USZ), University of Zurich (UZH), Switzerland; 7. University Medicine Halle, Dept. of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

#### **Objectives**

<ul> <li>To compare</li> <li>ocular evoked myogenic potentials (oVEMP), and</li> <li>mastoid velocity levels</li> <li>using a Laser Doppler Vibrometer (LDV), with</li> <li>stimulation at the forehead Fz and AFz using three</li> <li>types of bone conduction excitation:</li> </ul>	We use with a co Fz and A The B250 mastoid not be ir		
(1) A new bone transducer B250 (2) Minishaker B&K 4810 (3) Tendon Hammer	oVE eClip B250 250 F		
BackgroundA new small size prototype transducer (B250)with low frequency emphasis, is proposed asan alternative to the bulky MinishakerB&K4810 (MS) or manually applied impulseswith Tendon Hammer (TH) in VEMP testing.			
<image/> <table-row>3 1 25 25 0 10 25 0 1 25 0 1 25 0 1 25 0 1 25 0 1 25 0 1 25 0 1 25 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 25 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</table-row>	LDV: • Po • Re • Me vel		

Handheld and aligned

**Tendon hammer** 

Force gauge & trigger switch

**Operator applied impulses** 

by operator

incorporated

UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG







### - A pilot study of oVEMP and mastoid velocity in two subjects with stimuli at Fz and AFz

Bo Håkansson<sup>1</sup>; Karl-Johan Fredén Jansson<sup>1</sup>; Ian Curthoys<sup>2</sup>; Leigh McGarvie<sup>3</sup>; Luca Veerecchia<sup>4</sup>; Zheer Tawfique<sup>4</sup>; Laura Fröhlich<sup>5</sup>; Julia Dlugaiczyk<sup>6</sup>; Torsten Rahne<sup>7</sup>; Måns Eeg-Olofsson<sup>8</sup>; Sabine Reinfeldt<sup>1</sup>

### **Methods**

## **Results**

standard procedures for oVEMP ondensation stimuli at forehead Fz, see figure.

0 can also be applied to the using a steel spring, but that will nvestigated here.

#### EMP:

pse EP25 platform

0 and Minishaker stimuli: Hz, 0-1-0, 130-145 dB peakFL



Govender and Colebatch 2018

#### olytech HLV-1000 eflector glued behind the ear. easure perpendicular skin locity over the mastoid bone.



## oVEMP results

Results from one of the two subjects (S2) are shown



#### Fz vs AFz with the different stimuli

				Tendon	
		B250	Minishaker	Hammer	
		Fz / AFz	Fz / AFz	Fz / AFz	
S1	n1	9.3/9 *	9.3/9.7	9.7 / 10	ms
	p1	11/11	12.7/13	14.3/15	ms
	Ampl	1.3/3.1	2.6/1.2	9.5/9.5	uV
S2	n1	12.3/12	9/8.7*	9.7/10.7	ms
	p1	16.7/16.3	16.7/10.7	14.7/14.7	ms
	Ampl	14.6/12.3	4.5/1.1	19.6/11.9	uV

- 11 St 1111101 111-P1 16 SP011S6

## **LDV** results

**Tendon Hammer impulse** excitation and LDV response



#### B250 and Minishaker, LDV responses from single cycle 250 Hz



Excitation impulses at Fz and AFz are very similar as well as the resulting LDV velocity at the mastoid skin surface.

In all excitations, a condensation forehead stimuli gives a rarefaction movement of the mastoid bone!







# P525

Vertigo and Balance Disorders



### Discussion

## **Tendon Hammer**

Fz



B250 has a resonance at 250 Hz, explaining the ringing after 4 ms when the input signal is off. The B250, and to some extent the Minishaker, has a first smaller peak followed by a second higher positive peak.

- oVEMP results are very similar at Fz and AFz which suggest that AFz can be used for practical reasons (less hair involved, more stable attachment of B250 with headband).
- In some registrations, there is a first minor n1-p1 at around 10 ms followed by a major n'1-p'1 at 12-14 ms (in S1-B250-Fz and S2-Minishaker-AFz). This is assumed to originate from a less pronounced first positive peak with B250/Minishaker.
- LDV results show that a condensation stimuli at the forehead (both Fz and AFz) corresponds to a rarefaction velocity of the mastoid bone, which indeed has been reported to be the main direction of vibration eliciting the oVEMP reflex.

## Conclusions

- **Results suggest that B250 can replace** Minishaker and Tendon Hammer for oVEMP testing with a condensation stimuli at AFz.
- More subjects are needed to confirm results, but the planned clinical study is delayed because of significantly increased regulations under the new MDR as B250 is not CE marked.

## More information

For more info and request for evaluation prototypes of the B250 (not yet CE marked) contact: Bo Håkansson: Mail: boh@chalmers.se, Mob: +46707853294







