

COCHLEAR IMPLANTS

Wilhelm Wimmer^{1,2}, Stephan Schraivogel², Stefan Weder², Marco Caversaccio² ¹Technical University Of Munich, Munich, Germany; ²Bern University Hospital, Bern, Switzerland

Results

Background and Aim

Preserving residual hearing after cochlear implant (CI) surgery provides significant benefits to patients. Electrical impedances may be linked to the intracochlear tissue response and could act as a biomarker for residual hearing¹. This study aims to explore the connection between residual hearing and impedance subcomponents in CI patients.

Our analysis showed that far-field impedance remained stable over time. Near-field impedance followed a dynamic course, peaking around 4 weeks at the start of the activation (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Evolution of averaged near-field and far-field impedances of 42 cases of all electrodes. The shaded area represents the 95% confidence interval of the mean

Table 1. Linear mixed-effects model summary for residual hearing (in dB HL) and near-field/far-field impedance averaged over all electrodes.

Intercept Time (months) Near-field impedance $(k\Omega)$ Far-field impedance $(k\Omega)$



Contact: Prof. Dr. Wilhelm Wimmer E-Mail: wilhelm.wimmer@tum.de www.exa-lab.org Internet:

Cochlear Implant Electrode Impedance as an Indirect Biomarker for Residual Hearing

A total of 42 patients with lateral wall electrode arrays from the same manufacturer were included in the study (Med-El Flex²⁸). We analyzed pre- and post-surgery pure-tone audiograms and telemetry data over a 24-month follow-up period to assess the link between residual hearing and impedance using a linear mixed-effects model. Near- and far-field impedance components were estimated using recorded voltage matrices and a specialized algorithm^{2,3}.

We identified a significant negative impact of near-field impedance on residual hearing (-3.8 dB) HL per k Ω ; p <.001), while far-field impedance had no significant association (Tab. 1; Fig. 2).



95% CI Coefficient p-value 64.12 -0.95; 129.9] .08 -0.29<.001-0.43; -0.16] -3.81[-4.57; -3.05] <.001[-2.55; 5.92] 1.67 .44

and near-field impedance.

analysis.



[1] Wimmer, W.; Sclabas, L.; Caversaccio, M.; Weder, S. Cochlear Implant Electrode Impedance as Potential Biomarker for Residual Hearing. Frontiers in Neurology 2022. [3] Schraivogel, S.; Aebischer, P.; Weder, S.; Caversaccio, M.; Wimmer, W. Cochlear Implant Electrode Impedance Subcomponents as Biomarker for Residual Hearing. Frontiers in Neurology 2023



Material and Methods

Figure 2. Scatter plot showing random intercepts and random slopes as estimated by the linear mixed-effects model between residual hearing (in dB HL)

Conclusion

Our findings highlight the potential of impedance subcomponents as objective biomarkers for monitoring outcomes in CI patients. Further studies will include dynamic parameters in the



- [2] Schraivogel, S.; Aebischer, P.; Wagner, F.; Weder, S.; Mantokoudis, G.; Caversaccio, M.; Wimmer, W. Postoperative Impedance-Based Estimation of Cochlear Implant Electrode Insertion Depth. Ear & Hearing 2023.

